

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,079		11/14/2003	Liise-anne Pirofski	ABX-AE1 CON	5765
1473	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & N	IEAVE II	GROUP	NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
ROPES &	GRAY LL	.P			
1251 AVE	NUE OF T	HE AMERICAS FL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	RK, NY	10020-1105	1645		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/714,079	PIROFSKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Navarro	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	iaust 2005						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
· <u></u>		secution as to the merits is					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
dioded in additional with the produce under 2	pune quayie, 1000 G.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8,10,11,15,17,18,22,24,25,32-38</u>	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8,10,11,15,17,18,22,24,25,32-35,38,44 and 45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,11,18,25,32,33,38,4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,11,18,25,32,33,38,44 and 45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3,8,10,15,17,22,24,34 and 35 is/are	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,8,10,15,17,22,24,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1, 3, 8, 10, 15, 17, 22, 24 and 34-35, in the response filed August 29, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not both independent and distinct. Applicants further assert that a search for Group I would be co-extensive with a search for Groups III and IV. This is not found persuasive because the separate classification of the groups is only one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not co-extensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden of search. Clearly different searches and issues are involved in the examination of each group.

Accordingly claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-11, 15, 17-18, 22, 24-25, 32-35, 38, and 44-45 are pending in the instant application, of which claims 4, 11, 18, 25, 32-33, 38, and 44-45 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non elected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper, and accordingly made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 1, 3, 8, 10, 15, 17, 22, 24, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 3, 8, 10, 15, 17, 22, 24, and 34-35 are directed to antibodies which have the same characteristics and utility as those found naturally and therefore does not constitute as

Art Unit: 1645

patentable subject matter.

In the absence of the hand of man, naturally occurring products are considered non-statutory subject matter. Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). Mere purity of naturally occurring product does not necessarily impart patentability. Ex parte Siddiqui 156 USPQ 426 (1966). However when purity results in new utility, patentability is considered. Merck Co. V. Chase Chemical Co. 273 F. Supp 68 (1967). See also American Wood v. Fiber Disintergrating Co., 90 US 566 (1974); American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex Co. 283 US 1 (1931); Funk Brothers Seed Co. V. Kalo Innoculant Co. 33 US 127 (1948). Filing of evidence of a new utility imparted by the increased purity of the claimed invention and amendment to the claims to recite the essential purity of the claimed products is suggested to obviate this rejection. For example, "An isolated antibody..."

Claims 1, 3, 8, 10, 15, 17, 22, 24, and 34-35 are free of the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (571) 272-0861.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,079 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Navarro

Primary Examiner October 13, 2005